

The downside of lower prices

Published on: 7 July, 2017 | Author: Investment Team

Everyone is familiar with the concept of monopoly but a word which is increasingly common in the financial press but is less well known is the related idea of monopsony.

Monopoly is loosely defined as the “exclusive possession or control of a service or good.” Monopsony is defined as a “market situation where there is a large dominant buyer.” In other words, both situations grant economic power to the principal agent; in the first case to raise prices but in the second case to lower prices.

Think of a situation where there are many sellers of a good or service but there is only one buyer. That buyer has the power to make the competing sellers bid against each other to arrive at the lowest price to secure the only available business.

In the UK, for a time, the major supermarkets came close to that kind of market power. Essentially, they had sufficient buying power in the different regions of the UK to set prices. It is well known that producer margins collapsed.

Thinking more globally, the major US networks like Amazon have pricing power sufficient to compete other retailers out of the market. The high street is littered with failed retailers.

Why is this important? Surely the cheapest price is always good for consumers?

This is where rational micro-economic behaviour conflicts with equally rational macro-economics because to a broad approximation every consumer is also a producer somewhere in the economic value chain.

In essence, for any two economic agents; the spending of the first equates to the income of the second. Relentless price erosion; an exclusive focus on cost-cutting, in the end, reduces total incomes.

This is one reason why there is a backlash against globalisation. The market power of the key global networks is such that their scale can drive down prices but their volumes allow them to function on very much smaller margins than their smaller, say, retail competitors. Prices down; volumes and profits up to the monopsonist; total incomes down in the economy as a whole.

As we noted last week, you can see this in the UK where incomes have simply followed prices down.

We know from the financial crisis and particularly from the Eurozone crisis that financial imbalances are deeply destructive but very quietly, there is a growing imbalance in the distribution of market and pricing power between producers, intermediate buyers and consumers.

Knowingly or not that is the conflict that is being played out in legislatures around the world. For investors it divides the corporate world into winners and losers!

Download Tacit Thought [here](#)

Opinions constitute our judgment as of this date and are subject to change without warning. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results.

Important Information: Any views, insights, or commentary are for general information only, do not constitute personal investment advice or research, and are intended for UK residents. They may not be appropriate in all jurisdictions. While sourced from information we believe to be reliable, we make no guarantee as to accuracy or completeness. Past performance is not a guide to future results, and the value of investments can go down as well as up.

Regulatory Disclaimer: Tacit Investment Management is the trading name of TIML Limited (No. 9228395), part of Tacit Holdings Limited (No. 10611211). Both companies are incorporated in England and Wales, with the registered office at 14 Hanover Square, London W1S 1HN. TIML Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA ref. 670184) and approves and issues this communication under Section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. Please note, tax and estate planning services are not regulated by the FCA.